

THE SUNDAY JOURNAL

SUNDAY, APRIL 26, 1891.

WASHINGTON OFFICE—513 Fourteenth st.

Telephone Office—238 Editorial Rooms—232

Business Office—238 Editorial Rooms—232

TERMS OF SUBSCRIPTION.

One year, without Sunday.....\$12.00
 One year, with Sunday.....14.00
 Six months, without Sunday.....7.00
 Six months, with Sunday.....8.00
 Three months, without Sunday.....3.50
 Three months, with Sunday.....4.00
 One month, without Sunday.....1.00
 One month, with Sunday.....1.20

Delivered by carrier in city, 5 cents per week.

Per Year.....\$1.00

Reduced Rates to Clubs.

Subscribers with any of our numerous agents,

and send subscriptions to the

JOURNAL NEWSPAPER COMPANY,

INDIANAPOLIS, IND.

Persons sending the Journal through the mails in

the United States should put on an eight-cent paper

stamp, and a two-cent postage stamp. Foreign post-
 age is usually double these rates.

All communications intended for publication in

this paper must, in order to receive attention, be

accompanied by the name and address of the writer.

THE INDIANAPOLIS JOURNAL

Can be found at the following places:

PARIS—American Exchange in Paris, 36 Boulevard

des Capucines.

NEW YORK—Giles House and Windsor Hotel.

PHILADELPHIA—A. F. Kemble, 375 Lancaster

avenue.

CHICAGO—Palmer House.

CINCINNATI—J. B. Hawley & Co., 154 Vine street.

LOUISVILLE—D. T. Deering, northwest corner

Third and Jefferson streets.

ST. LOUIS—Union News Company, Union Depot

and Southern Hotel.

WASHINGTON, D. C.—Biggs House and Ebbitt

House.

SIXTEEN PAGES

The Sunday Journal has double the circulation

of any Sunday paper in Indiana.

Price five cents.

The value of the silver in one of our

legal-tender dollars, measured in the

money of commerce and the prices of

the world, is 75 cents.

The person who starts a rumor to the

effect that a man like Mr. Blaine has

been assassinated, laboring under the

delusion that he is a practical joker instead

of being a life candidate for an asylum

for the very feeble-minded.

If the promise of a bountiful wheat

crop in this State is realized farmers

will have the means with which to pay

the largely increased taxes imposed by

that first premium misfit known as the

Indiana Legislature of 1891.

The very early and great activity of

the fool friends of a few prominent pub-

lic men in this country would be fatal

to their futures did not the American

people realize that no man is responsi-

ble for such friends. They attach them-

selves to prominent men in spite of their

efforts to shake them off.

The proposed military encampment

in this city is deserving of a hearty and

liberal support. It will draw more peo-

ple here and result in the expenditure

of more money than any other entertain-

ment of recent years. Besides, it will

be an excellent advertisement for the

city. The public should guarantee it

a liberal endorsement.

One of the national commissioners of

the world's fair, from Wisconsin, has

resigned, and the other will do so because

the Legislature has appropriated but

\$65,000 to make an exhibit for that State

and created eight officers to expend it.

The Wisconsin Legislature was about

as short-sighted as that of Indiana in

this important matter.

The action of the German Emperor in

transferring to new hands the author-

ship of a history of the founding of the

empire because the original author

made Bismarck too prominent a savor of

littleness. In any truthful history of

the founding of the empire it would be

impossible to give Bismarck a more

prominent position than the world has

already assigned him, and which his-

tory will doubtless confirm. The Em-

peror will not succeed in changing this

verdict by means of a court history

written to order.

It seems that a number of incorporat-

ed towns in Montgomery county are in

trouble concerning their spring elections,

owing to the failure to comply with the

requirement of the new law in regard to

publishing notices of the election and

samples of the ballots. There are many

provisions in the law which have to be

complied with at every election, and if

local officers and committeemen do not

study the law closely they must expect

to have trouble. Probably there are

scores of incorporated towns throughout

the State in the same situation as those

in Montgomery county, and it may re-

sult in much confusion.

The census of the German empire,

which was taken in December, shows

that its population is about 49,420,800,

against 46,855,794 in 1885—an increase of

2,565,000 in five years. This is the largest

gain made by Germany since its unifica-

tion. The rate per cent, was a trifle

higher for the five years ending in De-

cember, 1880, but the actual gain during

the last five-year period is the largest.

From 1880 to 1885 the increase fell 1,068,-

068, which was due to an enormous emi-

gration to the United States. During

the last five years German immigration

has fallen off, resulting in the decided

gain of home population. It is worth

while to note that while Germany has

gained 4,200,000 during the last ten years,

France appears to have gained scarcely

1,000,000. The census of the British

Isles, which has just been taken, is ex-

pected to show a gain of about 3,300,000

in ten years. Thus Germany is growing

faster than any European nation, except

Russia.

In a recent address before the School-

masters' Club in Boston, Professor Em-

ertson, of Harvard, condemned the edu-

ple come to us with this antagonistic idea that the natives of the country should be imbued with American ideas and the spirit of Washington and Lincoln. In the exclusive "four hundred" of our large cities there are quite enough native-born people who are aping the customs and manners of foreigners because they are thought to be hostile to the ideas of the Republic.

GENERAL WOLSELEY'S MISCONCEPTION OF THE AMERICAN SOLDIER.

In this issue may be found the first installment of a paper upon General Sherman by General Viscount Wolseley, who is to-day the best educated soldier in the British army. It is important to call attention to this fact at the outset, for the reason that the American or the well-informed foreigner will be surprised that a man with such reputation could be so ignorant of the armies and the operations of one of the most important wars of the century. The air of arrogant self-complacency which pervades his views will most likely annoy many readers when they remember that this man, who loftily, and even contemptuously, talks of a war which no European soldier studies to-day because of the inferiority of leadership and soldiery, never commanded in actual war a body of troops as large as a brigade, and whose success in fighting barbarians was not pronounced. He would have his readers understand that the rebellion was no war because the troops on both sides were not "regulars" and their officers had not been members of titled families and educated in the barracks if not the military schools of Europe. He makes no attempt to conceal his contempt for what in our war were called "veterans," men hardened by two or three severe campaigns.

General Wolseley's difficulty appears to be that he has confounded the men who fought the war of the rebellion with those barbarous races which Great Britain has fought exclusively for a third of a century in its conquests for territory and markets. It must have been his experience in this sort of warfare which has led him to declare that our "raw levies" could not have stood up successfully against an equal number of well-drilled soldiers like those of European armies. In arriving at this conclusion he could not have consulted the history of Great Britain's campaigns in America. If he had he would have learned that the "embattled farmers" at Lexington, so "fresh levies" that they were called minute men, caused something like an equal number of British regulars to make all possible haste until safe under the guns of Boston, in April, 1775; that in the June following men who "had never worn a soldier's coat a day," at Bunker Hill beat off regiments of British regulars far outnumbering them, until empty powder-horns compelled them to sulkily retire from the field; that a year later Burgoyne surrendered the flower of the British army up the Hudson to an army of raw levies, led by men who knew little of military science; and finally, that another army of regulars surrendered at Yorktown to another raw army, baffled in strategy and beaten in tactics by George Washington, who could not have been a soldier whose campaigns were entitled to a moment's attention by European students of the science of war.

General Wolseley's ignorance is more amazing when he applies the phrase "loose fighting" to the conflicts of the rebellion. True, there was the surprise at Pittsburg Landing, to which he calls attention, but there were Vicksburg, Gettysburg, Chattanooga, the Wilderness and scores of fields where the men of both sides fought with the steadiness and effect of veterans. Losses are the criterion of fighting. The forces engaged at Waterloo and Gettysburg were nearly equal—70,000 and 50,000 on each side—and the aggregate losses in both battles were nearly the same—23,000. The losses of separate commands in battles are a test of discipline and courage. The charge of the Light Brigade in the Crimean war has been immortalized in song, yet its loss, in killed and wounded, was but 36.7 per cent. of the number engaged, while sixty-three Union regiments and more than half as many Confederate regiments lost over 50 per cent. of their numbers in killed and wounded in single encounters, the highest being 82 per cent. The heaviest loss made by a German regiment in a single engagement in the Franco-Prussian war was 49.4 per cent. In the Franco-Prussian war the loss in killed and mortally wounded was 3.1 per cent. of the allies in the Crimean war, 3.2 per cent. of the Union army in the rebellion, 4.7 per cent. of the Confederates, 9 per cent. Moreover, the casualties of the federal armies during the six months beginning with May, 1864, were as large as those of the German army operating against France during the same period, and with comparatively the same aggregate forces; and yet the most learned soldier in the British army characterizes such fatal warfare as "loose fighting."

One fatal error is at the foundation of all General Wolseley's glaring misconceptions. Very naturally, that misconception is due to insular prejudice and assumption. That error is that he assumes that the men who fill the ranks of the regular army of Great Britain are the equals of the men who constituted the armies of the civil war. They are of the same blood, but different countries, different institutions, and different relations had made them nearly as wide apart as the poles. Viscount Wolseley's recruits had attained that stunted growth which is the fate of those who feel all their lives the cramping environment of arbitrary class distinctions. Their ideas were stunted, and aspirations they never had. They became soldiers because there was nothing else to do. They were treated as machines, and were just what their officers made them. The men who made up the federal regiments were very largely men of intelligence and individuality. They went to war for a purpose, and they carried with them the manhood, the force of character and the purpose which had been nurtured in them by free institutions and struggles to better their conditions in life. Their bayonets thought; in weeks they ac-

quired the machine part of the soldier to which the life soldier devoted years. They mastered tactics in a brief time, the enlisted man often being a better drill-master and tactician than his captain. In fact, in a large part of the regiments a second or third set of far superior officers could have been taken from the ranks, because the experience of a few campaigns made them practically educated soldiers. The British general has no conception of such soldiers; consequently all his ideas of our leaders and of our civil war are false to absurdity.

SUNDAY AND THE WORLD'S FAIR.

The question as to whether the world's fair, to be held in Chicago in 1893, shall be open to the public on Sundays is not disturbing the average citizen to any extent at this stage of proceedings; but the New York Independent sends danger afar off, and in order to discover the drift of opinion on the subject, has obtained the views of a large number of prominent persons. Some weeks ago it published letters from a number of leading public men, United States Senators and others, in which a variety of opinions were expressed concerning the matter. Some favored closing the doors from Saturday until Monday; some would open them Sunday afternoons; others would open the art department only, while a few were non-committal. In its latest issue the Independent gives the result of its correspondence with ninety-seven bishops of the various religious bodies of the United States. The same difference exists in the views of these prelates that was shown by the other correspondents, but the character of this division of sentiment and the lines upon which it is drawn are rather more significant. The eleven bishops of the Methodist Episcopal Church, the four of the United Brethren, the three of the Evangelical Association, the five of the African Zion Church, the ten of the Methodist Episcopal Church South, the three of the Moravian Church, the two of the Colored Methodist Episcopal Church—all again favor of closing. Of the six bishops of the African Methodist Episcopal Church five agree that the doors should be closed, while one would have them open half the day. Of the bishops of the Protestant Episcopal Church twenty-two would close the doors, two would open them in the afternoons, one is non-committal. Of the six archbishops of the Roman Catholic Church three favor closing and three approve of having them open in the afternoons, with certain limitations, such as the machinery departments and others involving active labor on the part of attendants. Of the Catholic bishops four would close, eleven would open, and one declines to express an opinion. Some of these ecclesiastical gentlemen give at considerable length the reasons for their views. Summed up, these reasons are, on one side, that the sanctity of the Christian Sabbath should be preserved; that it is a day for rest and religious observance, and that the interests of religion and morality will be served by closing the doors; on the other, that the people who labor six days in the week will have no other time in which to see the exposition. Advocates of the latter view also advance the argument that if the fair is closed on the first day in the week, thousands of strangers who might otherwise spend their hours innocently will patronize evil resorts outside, and thus suffer ill that might have been averted. It is interesting to note that the bishops who favor the opening are those who come in closest contact with the class of people who would be most affected by the final decision—the people who have no leisure save on Sunday and who have no vacations. It may be said of the Catholic bishops advocating the opening that they are influenced by the foreign ideas of their church members, but it will not do to ascribe all their liberality to this cause. It is true that Catholic prelates are in intimate association with their people and may be affected by their opinions, but such association must also acquaint them with the needs of human-kind. Every one of these bishops upholds an observance of religious rites on Sunday, but they also recognize the fact, ignored by others, that, desirable as such course may be theoretically, laboring people are not prone to spend the entire day in prayer and pious meditation, but, like a large portion of the rest of mankind, will seek recreation. Like wise men, these prelates adapt themselves to human nature as they find it, and, by encouraging harmless recreation, do more to further morality than by setting up a rigid line of conduct that weak humanity will not live up to. The one African bishop who has the courage of his convictions represents the same class of people, and his words carry the more weight in that their expression must have cost an effort, opposed, as his views are, to what he knew would be the conventional opinions of his associates in office. It is impossible not to believe, in reading the letters of these bishops, that some of them would have expressed less positive opinions concerning the sinfulness of opening the fair on Sunday had their work been with the poorer classes of crowded cities rather than in rural districts, where strict Sunday observance can better be secured; and there is also room to suspect that if other of these gentlemen were closer to the people they would modify their religious conventionality, and, without lessening their religion, recognize the fact that the conditions of life change, and by conforming themselves to the change gain increased moral influence.

THE GROWTH OF CITIES.

The growth of cities in this country is one of the most important features presented by the bulletins of the Census Office, for the reason that it shows that a wonderful transformation is going on in the dwelling-places and consequently in the vocations of the inhabitants of the United States. In the bulletin just issued the urban population, like that of its predecessors, is made to include the populations of all cities and towns of 8,000 inhabitants and upwards. Such population was 18,285,670 of a total of 62,622,350, or 29.13 per cent. If all the villages of four or five thousand inhabitants, which are as urban in their

pursuits as many that are larger, were added to the urban population as now given, the non-agricultural as distinguished from the agricultural population would number fully 40 per cent. of the whole. The growth of cities during the first century of the Republic is vividly presented by the following table from the last census bulletin:

Census Year.	Population of Cities of 8,000 and Over.	Population of Towns of 2,500 and Over.	Population of Villages of 1,000 and Over.
1790.....	3,229,214	131,472	3.35
1800.....	3,308,483	210,879	3.97
1810.....	3,718,381	268,920	4.53
1820.....	5,838,522	475,135	4.93
1830.....	12,866,020	864,509	6.72
1840.....	20,066,433	1,455,984	8.23
1850.....	29,191,878	2,897,886	12.49
1860.....	31,443,321	3,071,256	16.13
1870.....	36,398,271	3,071,256	20.93
1880.....	50,155,789	1,318,847	22.87
1890.....	62,622,350	1,318,847	29.12

One hundred years ago one person in thirty living in the United States lived in cities of eight thousand population and above; now it may be said that one person in three lives in cities. And yet, almost marvelous as is the change that these figures present, the change that has taken place in a century in the occupations of the people has been much greater. Then agriculture was the chief occupation of the people. When the statistics of industry are presented it will be found that not much, if any, over half of those employed in gainful occupations are tillers of the soil. It will be seen from the foregoing table that the increase in the percentage of urban population was quite regular until 1880, but from 1880 to 1890 it has increased nearly as large a per cent. as during the three censuses from 1850 to 1880. In the Atlantic division 61.58 per cent. of the population is in the cities, while in the north central division, which includes Ohio, Indiana, Illinois, Michigan, Wisconsin, Minnesota, Iowa, Missouri, North and South Dakota, Nebraska and Kansas, the great cereal-growing region of the country, 55.90 per cent. of the inhabitants live in cities. In 1890, 18.37 per cent. of Indiana's inhabitants lived in cities, against 12.34 per cent. in 1880, or an increase of urban population from 244,063 in 1880 to 400,567 in 1890. The total increase of population during the decade in Indiana was 214,103, of which 156,504 was in cities of 8,000 and upwards. Of the gain in population during the decade, of 14,496,467, in the United States, 7,417,313, or nearly two-thirds, was by cities which, in 1890, numbered 8,000 inhabitants and upwards, while the number of such cities increased from 286, in 1880, to 443, in 1890. And here it should be added that by cities are meant only corporate limits, and that the foregoing figures do not include populous suburbs falling below the 8,000 limit fixed for cities.

Thus far there is nothing in the growth of cities to cause the least apprehension. The tillage of the soil has not been diminished by the accessions of population in cities but, on the contrary, the agricultural portions are great gainers by such an increase of consumers for their products in their very midst.

SUPERVISION OF ELECTRIC WIRES.

The rapid growth of electric service in cities, with the necessary extension of wires belonging to telegraph, telephone, street railway, police and fire-alarm systems, necessitates special supervision of this branch of business, and several cities have already organized special departments for it. The Philadelphia City Council has created a department known as the "electrical bureau." It is in charge of a chief, who is appointed by and directly responsible to the director of the Department of Public Safety. The functions of the bureau include the supervision of all the municipal services, such as the fire telegraph, the police telegraph, the fire and the police telephones, the police signals, the general municipal telephone and such other systems as are necessary for the convenience and quick dispatch of the public business. The chief of the bureau also has charge of the construction and maintenance of all electrical structures within the city, of whatever nature, and has power to compel all individuals or corporations who erect such to comply with his directions. Persons or corporations who have received locations must secure permits from this office before they begin to run their wires. He also oversees the erection of all electric lights by private corporations. Philadelphia, by the way, collects a tax on every electric light. This is done by a system of licenses. At the end of each fiscal year all the electrical companies and corporations using the streets for heating, lighting or motor purposes are obliged, under stress of severe penalties, to make detailed returns to the electrical bureau of their plants. These returns cover the number and location of poles, the mileage of wires, the attachment of wires to city poles and the length of underground conduits. The annual license fee which each company is obliged to pay is then assessed on these returns. The schedule of charges is as follows: For each and every pole, \$1; for each mile or fraction thereof of overhead telegraph or telephone wire, \$2.50; for each mile or fraction thereof of overhead electric-light wire, \$5; and for each attachment to poles owned by the city, 50 cents. No license charge is made for underground wires, but in place of it each company laying underground structures is required to furnish the city, for its use, without cost, one or two ducts or three good working wires, as the chief of the bureau may elect. For each new pole a license fee of \$5 is charged, and the pole cannot be placed in position until the money has been paid into the hands of the receiver of taxes and his receipt exhibited to the chief of the electrical bureau.

Pittsburg has an ordinance requiring all electrical companies to file in the office of the Department of Public Works plans showing the location, size and nature of their devices. Their entire plan and system of wires must be described, with the means of insulation, etc., and these plans must be approved by the chief of that department. Boston has a "Department for the Inspection of Wires," the chief of which

has functions and powers much like those in Philadelphia and Pittsburg. He has direct control of all wires belonging to the city, and authority to remove all abandoned wires and all wires not provided with a tag or mark designating their ownership or use.

Chicago and Cleveland have united these duties with those of their fire departments, and the superintendent of the city telegraph is made the executive officer. No electric-light lamp can be erected without certificates from these, and they are obliged to make inspections of wires and lamps as often as they deem it necessary, or when requested to do so by property-holders. The fee for this inspection is \$1 per horse-power in Chicago and 50 cents in Cleveland. The receipts from this source are placed to the credit of the fire department fund. Cleveland is not altogether satisfied with this system, however, and an ordinance creating a board of electrical control is now in the hands of the solicitor of the city.

The city of Milwaukee, by an ordinance passed about a year ago, gives the construction and supervision of electric wires, currents and devices to the assistant superintendent of the fire-alarm telegraph. The tendency in all cities is to bring electric wires and appliances of all kinds under a supervision at once competent and strict.

The proposition of the Secretary of the Treasury to pay out the subsidiary coin now in the Treasury on pensions and other government obligations ought to meet with popular approval. Subsidiary coin means silver coins less than one dollar. Small change is now too abundant now, and a large addition would be a public convenience. The amount of subsidiary silver coin in the Treasury is about \$21,000,000, the result of an accumulation that has been going on since the resumption of specie payments. The amount in September last was \$20,568,709, and on the 1st of April it was \$20,838,338. About \$10,000,000 of it is in the sub-treasury at New York, considerable in Washington, and the rest in other sub-treasuries. The proportions of the different denominations of this coin do not materially alter from time to time. On June 30 last it was as follows:

Half dollars.....	\$19,107,297.00
Quarter dollars.....	2,808,537.75
Dimes.....	223,373.60
Nickels.....	7,085.35
Unsorted.....	645,328.18
Twenty-cent pieces.....	995.60
Three-cent pieces.....	1,198.26

The twenty-cent and three-cent pieces were withdrawn from circulation years ago, and will all have to be recoined. The addition of \$21,000,000 to the active circulation in the form of silver change would add materially to the convenience of daily business.

The Washington Post, referring to the proposed meeting of President Harrison and President Diaz at El Paso, says that "Senor Romero notified the State Department that President Diaz would be restrained from fulfilling his intention by a constitutional provision prohibiting his crossing the American boundary line. If, therefore, the meeting was to take place President Harrison must go over into Mexico. Then," adds the Post, "it came to light that such an act on President Harrison's part might vacate his office." This suggestion is purely fanciful. There is nothing in the Constitution even hinting towards the idea that a temporary departure of the President beyond the limits of the United States would vacate his office. Suppose, on a fishing or yachting excursion, he should go to sea fifteen or twenty miles. Would that vacate his office? Of course not; neither would a visit to Mexico or any other foreign country. Whether the Mexican Constitution contains such a provision as that stated we are not informed, but certainly there is nothing of the kind in our Constitution.

An Indian agent has resigned because he differs with the policy of the Commissioner in regard to suppressing the Indian dances. They are regarded by the bureau as demoralizing, and therefore not permissible. The agent thinks that so long as they are conducted in a peaceful manner it is unwise to prohibit them, and that in strict law the department has no right to do so. There is much to be said in favor of the agent's view. That the dances are a relic and symbol of savagery is unquestionable, but they are an Indian custom, and not necessarily conducive to or indicative of hostile intentions. Of course, every proper effort should be made to educate the Indians out of them, but in the meantime why not humor the freak and permit them to practice a time-honored custom which, though very ridiculous from our point of view, is very interesting from theirs? In dealing with savages tact is sometimes more effective than force. The attempt to prohibit the dances perpetually does not seem to be a wise exercise of authority. White men should remember that red men are human.

Some day there will be a greater explosion in Rome than that which a few days ago shook the city to its foundations. There will be an explosion in which King Humbert's reign will come to an end and the monarchy will become a republic. The kingdom of Italy rests on a slight foundation, and is not hedged about by much divinity. It derives very little support from family traditions or hereditary considerations. Although King Humbert is personally popular, his reign is plunging Italy deeply into debt. The triple alliance which binds her with Prussia and Austria against France is distasteful to the Italian people. They have watched the magnificent career of France under the republic, and would like to emulate it. Some day they will try. When the time comes, as it almost surely will, for Humbert's government to go to protest there will come a political explosion that will startle the world, and when the smoke and dust clear away there will be a new republic.

The Charleston News and Courier wants a school history for Southern children that will clearly set forth the Southern side of the civil war and the causes that led to it. It admits that, as a matter of history, the Northern side of the controversy should also be stated, but "the motives and principles that

actuated the Southern people in the great struggle, and the story of their glorious part in that struggle, should be told in a way to make a lasting impression on the minds of the younger generation." Not only should Southern children be fully informed as to what the Southern people fought for, but, says the News and Courier, "they should be made to understand fully what their fathers and kinsmen fought for and how well they fought, and should be inspired with more pride in the history of the four years of unequal war waged by the Southern States in their struggle for independence than in any other history that ever was written or that ever